EXHIBIT 7

September 6, 2026 IDR No-Agreement / No-Determination and Full-Board Review Confirmation

Contemporaneous written confirmation that the IDR ended without agreement or determination by the Association's designated representative; Reynders stated that the matter would be referred to the Board for a disqualification vote; and Mills requested full-Board review under Civil Code section 5910(d).

Source: Full 3-page correspondence

Confirmation of IDR Impasse, Unresolved Legal Issues, and Appeal to Full Board

From	William Mills <WMills99@protonmail.com>
To	Johanna Deleissegues <JDeleissegues@adamsstirling.com>, Jeff Gollihar <jeffg@communityonepm.com>, MARK REYNDERS <ctreynders@aol.com>
BCC	Trever Fong <trever.fong@gmail.com>, Roadrunner <eyedoc1@roadrunner.com>
Date	Sunday, September 6th, 2026 at 12:25 PM

Mr. Reynders and Members of the Board:

This confirms today's IDR conducted by Zoom from approximately **12:00 p.m. to 12:08 p.m.** Mark Reynders participated as the Association's designated representative, and Board member David Jones attended as a witness.

The parties agreed that the IDR had reached an **impasse** and that the dispute was not resolved by agreement.

During the IDR, I again explained the principal defects in the Association's proposed disqualification.

First, the Association continues to mischaracterize the insurance issue as a Directors & Officers insurance problem through Philadelphia.

I specifically advised Mr. Reynders that the Association's own insurance records identify **Philadelphia as the D&O carrier, while the Association's crime/fidelity coverage is through Hartford Fire Insurance Company.** That distinction was not substantively addressed.

Second, I again explained that the Association's governing election rules do not authorize the misdemeanor-based disqualification now being asserted.

The Election Rules provided to me address disqualification based upon a **felony** affecting fidelity coverage. My ultimate disposition was a **misdemeanor**.

I again asked the Association to identify the exact duly adopted bylaw or election rule authorizing the misdemeanor-based disqualification it now seeks to impose. That issue was not substantively addressed.

Third, the Association still has not produced the actual insurance evidence it claims supports disqualification.

Mr. Reynders advised that the Association has not yet determined whether it will provide me with the actual insurer, broker, underwriting, or related documents upon which it claims to rely.

The Association is therefore proposing to disqualify me based upon insurance representations while withholding the underlying evidence necessary to verify those representations.

I again requested the complete insurance and underwriting communications, including the actual carrier, policy, underwriter, dates, full email chains, and the information supplied concerning me.

These issues remain unresolved.

Mr. Reynders further stated that he would refer the matter to the Board so that the Board could vote on whether to disqualify me. He did not purport to make the final determination himself.

Accordingly, I hereby **expressly invoke and preserve my right to appeal any adverse IDR outcome to the full Board under Civil Code section 5910(d).**

I also stated during IDR that I am increasingly concerned that the Association has chosen not to meaningfully address the governing-document and statutory objections I have repeatedly raised, and instead intends to proceed with the disqualification and leave the matter for a court to decide.

If that is the Board's position, the Board should understand the consequences.

This dispute can still be resolved internally by applying the Association's existing election rules, reviewing the actual insurance evidence, and addressing the legal objections that have now been repeatedly presented.

If the Board instead proceeds with disqualification while continuing to leave these issues unanswered and the underlying evidence unproduced, it will create **substantial and avoidable litigation risk, attorneys' fees, costs, and expense to the Association and its members.**

I am not asking the Board to agree with me merely because I have raised these objections. I am asking it to actually address them before taking an action that may require judicial review.

Please place this matter before the full Board and provide me with:

1. Notice of the meeting at which the Board will consider the proposed disqualification;

2. The exact governing-document and statutory provisions relied upon;

3. The complete insurer, broker, underwriting, and related communications relied upon by the Association;

4. The identity of the carrier and policy implicated by each underwriting statement; and

5. Written notice of the Board's final decision and the factual and legal basis for that decision.

For clarity, I participated fully and in good faith in the Association's IDR process.

I did not agree to the proposed disqualification. I did not withdraw my candidacy. I did not waive my election rights. I did not waive any claim or remedy.

The Association has now been given repeated notice of the legal, governing-document, insurance, and evidentiary objections to the proposed disqualification.

If the Board nevertheless chooses to proceed, it will do so with full knowledge of those objections and the resulting litigation risk.

I expressly preserve all rights arising from the IDR, the forthcoming Board decision, the pending ADR process, and the 2026 election, including the right to seek judicial relief if necessary.

Please preserve all documents and communications concerning my candidacy, proposed disqualification, insurance inquiries, broker and underwriting communications, IDR, and the Board's consideration of this matter.

William Mills

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.